



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/410,896	10/02/1999	CHING-HSING SHIH	67.200-207	7608

7590 04/23/2002

TUNG & ASSOCIATES
838 W LONG LAKE ROAD
SUITE 120
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48302

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 04/23/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Notification of Non-Compliance with
37 CFR 1.192(c)**

Application No.
09/410,896

Applicant(s)

Shih

Examiner

Ljiljana V. Ciric

Art Unit

3743



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

The Appeal Brief filed on Apr 8, 2002 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three TIME PERIODS: (1) ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) TWO MONTHS from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

1. ☐ The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. ☐ The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).

3. ☒ At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).

See 9(A) below.

4. ☒ The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).

Reference characters from the drawings are not used for clarity.

5. ☐ The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).

6. ☐ A single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and

(a) ☐ the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.

(b) ☐ the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.

7. ☐ The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).

8. ☒ The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).

See 9(A) below. Also, claim 16, from which claim 19 depends, is not listed.

9. ☒ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

(A) The status of the claims as cited is incorrect and contradictory; claims 16, 18, and 20 are cited as being "pending" AND "cancelled in a Request for Reconsideration, mailed January 3, 2002, which was accepted by the Examiner in an Advisory Action dated February 26, 2002", the latter being an incorrect assertion. According to the Advisory Action, entry of the amendment filed on January 3, 2002 was denied and claims 16, 18, and 20 are pending AND rejected.

(B) The rejections of claim 12 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, and of still pending claims 16, 18, and 20 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as cited in the Final Rejection mailed on November 6, 2001 have not been addressed.

Ljiljana V. Ciric

LJILJANA V. CIRIC
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3743